

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,974	10/605,974 11/11/2003		Mohammed Azam Hussain	MH01	2973
27797	7590	10/04/2006	•	EXAMINER	
RICHARD		LE	FORTUNA, ANA M		
1711 W. RIV GRAND ISL		Y 14072		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1723	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/605,974	HUSSAIN, MOHAMMED AZAM		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ana M. Fortuna	1723		

	Lxaiiiiiei	Artonit								
	Ana M. Fortuna	1723								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress							
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:										
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.									
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	r reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In vever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).										
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 itension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dates.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as							
		avoid dismissal of th								
3. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecalise							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	, _ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).										
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:										
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: .										
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-11 and 14-20</u> .		•								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:										
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE										
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).										
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a							
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ied.							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:							
12 □ Note the attached Information Dicalogura Statement/s) (DTO/SD/00) Depar No/s)										
<ul> <li>I2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)</li> <li>I3. ☑ Other: see attached Form 892.</li> </ul>										
	·									
		Ana M Fortuna								
		Primary Examiner								
		Art Unit: 1723								

Application/Control Number: 10/605,974

**Art Unit: 1723** 

## Response to Arguments

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/8/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Limitation of claim 6, now inserted in claim 1 was previously rejected in view of Anderson, which rejection is maintained (see US Patent 4,036,749, figure, element 34 (reverse osmosis or desalination), the brine (second stream)(38) is recyled and mixed with feed (10) and where the composition is adjusted in mixer (12). Whether the compound is added to the recyled stream or to the feed, a mixture with the required amount of the compound is formed before the treatment in the pretreatment steps. The process in the present invention do not exclude the steps of the process in the patent above, adding the compound to the sea water or to the recyled stream do not change the process requirements since both streams are mixed together and adjusted to a concentration of the compounds within the levels claimed in the present invention as discussed on the prior office action. The rejection of claims 15-20 in paragraph 4 of the final action is maintained.

2. A copy of the action is attached.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana M. Fortuna whose telephone number is (571) 272-1141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 1723** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ana M Fortuna Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

AF September 28, 2006